

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 CARACA 03979 212127Z

ACTION OES-06

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 EUR-12 EA-07 IO-13 ISO-00 FEA-01

ACDA-07 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-08

NRC-05 DODE-00 ERDA-05 PM-04 SS-15 PA-01 PRS-01

USIA-06 H-01 OIC-02 /116 W

-----220946Z 052875 /10

R 211920Z APR 77

FM AMEMBASSY CARACAS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7351

US MISSION GENEVA 296

US MISSION IAEA VIENNA 355

US MISSION USUN 1093

USLO PEKING

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOWCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE CARACAS 3979

STATE PASS ALL ARA POSTS

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PARM, XM

SUBJECT: OPANAL MEETING IN CARACAS

REF: CARACAS 3947

1. AMBASSADOR VAKY, AS US REPRESENTATIVE TO OPANAL MEETING, MADE STATEMENT APRIL 20 (SEE REFTEL) REITERATING PRESIDENT CARTER'S DECISION ANNOUNCED IN HIS APRIL 14 PAN AMERICAN DAY SPEECH THAT US WOULD SIGN PROTOCOL I OF THE TREATY OF TLATELOLCO. PRESIDENT CARTER'S DECISION AND US STATEMENT WERE BOTH VERY WELL RECEIVED. VENEZUELAN FOREIGN MINISTER ESCOVAR AND OPANAL SECRETARY GENERAL GROS ESPIELL IN STATEMENTS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 CARACA 03979 212127Z

DURING OPENING OF OPANAL MEETING, BOTH EXPRESSED IN WARMEST TERMS THEIR SATISFACTION AT PRESIDENT CARTER'S DECISION. FOLLOWING US STATEMENT, HEAD VENEZUELAN DELEGATION, ACTING AS CHAIRMAN OF THE CONFERENCE, MADE WARM AND FRIENDLY COMMENTS AND CALLED UPON THE AMBASSADOR TO TRANSMIT TO PRESIDENT CARTER THE THANKS OF OPANAL GENERAL CONFERENCE FOR THIS IMPORTANT DECISION. OTHER DELEGATIONS ALSO PRAISED US DECISION. SUBSEQUENTLY, GENERAL

CONFERENCE ADOPTED RESOLUTION THANKING PRESIDENT CARTER AND REITERATING OPANAL'S APPEAL TO FRANCE AND SOVIET UNION TO SIGN AND RATIFY PROTOCOLS I AND II (SEE REFTEL). AN EFFORT BY URUGUAYAN REPRESENTATIVE TO WEAKEN RESOLUTION BY CHANGING THE WORD "GRATITUDE" (CONCERNING OPANAL'S ATTITUDE TOWARD US DECISION TO SIGN PROTOCOL I) TO "RECOGNITION" WAS DEFEATED.

2. BRAZIL REQUESTED AND WAS GRANTED PERMISSION TO MAKE A STATEMENT FOLLOWING THAT OF US. THIS TURNED OUT TO BE A NON-POLEMICAL EXPLANATION OF BRAZIL'S REFUSAL TO CONSIDER TREATY TO BE IN FORCE (BECAUSE ALL THE PRIOR CONDITIONS HAVE NOT BEEN MET), BUT PLEDGED TO DO NOTHING WHICH WOULD FRUSTRATE THE OBJECTIVES OF THE TREATY AND REITERATED BRAZIL'S SUPPORT FOR THE CAUSE OF NON-PROLIFERATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN LATIN AMERICA.

3. FOLLOWING US STATEMENT, ANOTHER RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED URGING THE GOVERNMENTS OF ARGENTINA, BRAZIL, CHILE AND CUBA TO BECOME PARTIES TO THE TREATY AND TO WAIVE THE PRECONDITIONS TO BRING THE TREATY INTO EFFECT.

4. INDIA HAS OBSERVER DELEGATION AT MEETING AND US REPRESENTATIVES NOTED THAT OPANAL SECRETARIAT CIRCULATED LIST OF THOSE COUNTRIES STILL TO SIGN PROTOCOL II WHICH INCLUDED INDIA. US REPRESENTATIVE WILLIAMSON RAISED THIS WITH OPANAL SEC GEN GROS ESPIELL EVENING APRIL 19. WILLIAMSON EXPLAINED THAT INCLUDING INDIA IN THE CATEGORY OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS STATES FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE TREATY COULD HARM PROSPECTS FOR ITS SUCCESSFUL ENTRY INTO FORCE. IT ALSO COULD REDUCE PROSPECTS FOR INDUCING INDIA TO FOREGO THE ACQUISITION OF A WEAPONS FORCE. GROS ESPIELL REPLIED THAT INDIA LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 CARACA 03979 212127Z

WAS INCLUDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY, AND THAT THERE WAS NO INTENTION TO ADD INDIA TO THOSE STATES WHICH MUST SIGN PROTOCOL II. ON APRIL 20, IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION FROM THE FLOOR CONCERNING INDIA'S RELATIONSHIP TO PROTOCOL II, GROS ESPIELL MADE THE FOLLOWING EXPLANATION TO THE CONFERENCE.

A) INDIA HAS STATED THAT IT DOES NOT CONSIDER ITSELF A NUCLEAR WEAPON STATE;

B) THE QUESTION OF INCLUDING INDIA AMONG THOSE STATES WHICH MUST SIGN PROTOCOL II HAD BEEN RAISED IN AN EARLIER SESSION AND NO RPT NO DECISION WAS TAKEN CONCERNING THAT POINT;

C) TREATY OF TLATELOLCO DOES NOT DEFINE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STATES, THOUGH IT DOES DEFINE NUCLEAR WEAPONS. INDIA HAS EXPLODED A NUCLEAR DEFICE. IN VIEW OF INDIA'S POSITION THAT IT IS NOT A NUCLEAR WEAPONS STATE, INDIA'S INCLUSION FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES IN A LIST OF THOSE STATES WHICH HAVE NOT SIGNED PROTOCOL II DOES NOT IMPLY IN ANY WAY THAT OPANAL CONSIDERS THAT INDIA MUST SIGN

PROTOCOL II.

5. ONLY SLIGHTLY CONTENTIOUS NOTE WAS STRUCK DURING DEBATE ON THE QUESTION OF ENHANCING OPANAL'S ROLE IN PEACEFUL USES OF THE ATOM. MEXICAN DELEGATE, IN INTRODUCING A RESOLUTION CALLING FOR A STUDY OF AN ENHANCED ROLE FOR OPANAL, (WHICH WAS SUBSEQUENTLY APPROVED), STRESSED THAT THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION FACING THE CONFERENCE WAS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENHANCED PEACEFUL USES AND NON-PROLIFERATION. THE SUPERPOWERS HAVE NOT LIVED UP TO THEIR OBLIGATIONS UNDER ARTICLE 6 OF THE TREATY TO ENGAGE IN GENUINE DISARMAMENT, HE ASSERTED. THE NUCLEAR POWERS, MOREOVER, HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO TRANSFER NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. THE SUPPLIER COUNTRIES ACTING IN SECRET IN THE LONDON CLUB WERE IMPOSING NEW RULES ON DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WHICH DENIES THEM ACCESS TO NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGIES. GONZALEZ GALVES INDICATED, HOWEVER, THAT IN MANY RESPECTS THE SUPPLIER COUNTRIES' CONCERNS ABOUT ABUSE OF NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND TECHNOLOGY WERE "LEGITIMATE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 CARACA 03979 212127Z

AND JUSTIFIED" PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF THE EXPLOSION OF A NUCLEAR DEVICE BY A DEVELOPING COUNTRY. HOWEVER, THE MAKING OF NEW RULES SHOULD BE THROUGH EXISTING INSTITUTIONS SUCH AS THE IAEA, AND NOT IMPOSED UNILATERALLY. NUCLEAR POWERS SHOULD ALSO SHARE THE BENEFITS OF PNE'S. HE CONCLUDED BY STRESSING THAT THE TREATY OF TLAHELCO PROVIDES A GREATER DEGREE OF PROTECTION THAN DOES THE NPT BECAUSE OF TLAHELCO'S UNIQUE SYSTEM OF CHALLENGE INSPECTIONS, WHICH DOES NOT EXIST IN NPT. HE STRESSED THE IMPORTANCE OF LATIN AMERICAN STATES COOPERATING TOGETHER THROUGH THEIR OWN INSTITUTIONS TO GUARANTEE THAT THE USE OF NUCLEAR POWER CAN BE INCREASED, WHILE REDUCING THE DANGERS OF PROLIFERATION.

6. THESE POINTS WERE ALSO REITERATED MORE BRIEFLY AND LESS CONTENTIOUSLY BY THE VENEZUELAN REPRESENTATIVE WHO ECHOED THE LACK OF REAL PROGRESS ON DISARMAMENT BY NUCLEAR WEAPONS STATES, AND CONDEMNED THE "LONDON CLUB" FOR ADOPTING NEW RULES WHICH ARE NOT CAPABLE OF BEING COMPLIED WITH. HE STRESSED THE NEED FOR NUCLEAR POWER TO MEET FUTURE ENERGY NEEDS, AND POINTED OUT THAT EXISTING REACTORS ARE TOO EXPENSIVE AND TOO LARGE TO BE UTILIZED IN MOST DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. HE ALSO EXPRESSED INTEREST IN BETTER LATIN AMERICAN COOPERATION AND COORDINATION.

7. COMMENT: THE MEXICAN PRESENTATION, WHICH GONZALEZ GALVES TOOK EXTRA CARE TO KEEP ENTIRELY SEPARATED FROM HIS VERY POSITIVE REMARKS ON PROTOCL I, WAS A CURIOUS MIX OF THIRD WORLD RHETORIC AND SUPPORT FOR POSITIONS FAVORED BY THE U.S. THE EXPRESSION OF UNDERSTANDING FOR THE CONCERNS OF SUPPLIER STATES IS PERHAPS A POSITIVE FIRST INSTANCE. HOWEVER, IT IS CLEAR THAT MEXICO, AS THE LEADING LATIN AMERICAN FORCE FAVORING NON-PROLIFERATION IS NOT IN AGREEMENT WITH SOME USG METHODS, PARTICULARLY ON RESTRIC-

TIONS ON ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY. ON THE OTHER HAND, MEXICO'S
INITIATIVE ON PEACEFUL PURPOSES IN OPANAL COMPLEMENTS PRESIDENT
CARTER'S ANNOUNCEMENT OF A WORLDWIDE FUEL CYCLE EVALUATION
PROGRAM.

VAKY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 CARACA 03979 212127Z

NOTE BY OC/T: NOT PASSED.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TREATY SIGNATURE, SPEECHES, TREATY OF TLATELOLCO, NONPROLIFERATION AGREEMENTS, NUCLEAR WEAPONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 21-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977CARACA03979
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770140-0286
Format: TEL
From: CARACAS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770435/aaaabdlq.tel
Line Count: 183
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 11f8b0a1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION OES
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 CARACAS 3947
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29-Sep-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2741437
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: OPANAL MEETING IN CARACAS
TAGS: PARM, XM, US, XX, OPANAL
To: STATE GENEVA
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/11f8b0a1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009